## MANSTON AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER EXAMINATION SUBMISSION TO DEADLINE 9:

## Comments on Information requested by the ExA and received from the Applicant to Deadline 8

## and

## **Overarching Statement on dDCO**

- 1. The comments made by Five10Twelve Limited on the dDCO or any part of the Application/Examination are without prejudice to our position that, inter alia;
  - a) the Applicant's case is not credible;
  - b) no need has been demonstrated, and the case presented by the Applicant, which is based on the Azimuth report, is fundamentally flawed;
  - c) no compelling case in the public interest has been demonstrated;
  - d) no justification has been provided as to why the Works, as listed in Schedule 1 of the Revised Draft Development Consent Order, satisfy the legal tests of "NSIP development" or "Associated Development";
  - e) no justification for the extent of land acquisition has been provided;
  - f) no reasonable attempts have been made to acquire the land voluntarily or alternatives explored by the Applicant;
  - g) no credible business plan has been presented;
  - h) there is no evidence that funding is available;
  - i) there is no evidence that the level of funding proposed is adequate;
  - there is no evidence that the Applicant can reasonably expect to raise and commit the necessary funding to implement the authorised development;
  - k) the Applicant has not assessed the likely worst case environmental effects:
  - l) the Applicant has not properly consulted on its Application;
  - m) the mitigation measures are significantly wanting, not proportionate and not tailored to the location, proximity,

- inhabitants, housing stock, schools and open spaces and parks etc of Ramsgate, Herne Bay and Thanet; and
- n) it is not lawful or appropriate for survey results to be deferred until a later decision making stage etc.